Sodium Retention in Heart Failure and Cirrhosis

Potential Role of Natriuretic Doses of Mineralocorticoid Antagonist?
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Abstract—Patients with cirrhosis and heart failure (HF) share the pathophysiology of decreased effective arterial blood volume because of splanchnic vasodilatation in cirrhosis and decreased cardiac output in HF, with resultant stimulation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. Hyperaldosteronism plays a major role in the pathogenesis of ascites and contributes to resistance to loop diuretics. Therefore, the use of high doses of aldosterone antagonist (spironolactone up to 400 mg/day) is the main therapy to produce a negative sodium balance in cirrhotic patients with ascites. Hyperaldosteronism also has increasingly been recognized as a risk factor for myocardial and vascular fibrosis. Therefore, low-dose aldosterone antagonists are being used in patients with HF for cardioprotective action. However, the doses (25 to 50 mg/day) at which they are being used in cardiac patients as reported in the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study are not natriuretic. It is likely, therefore, that the mortality benefit relates primarily from their effect on cardiac and vascular fibrosis. Resistance to commonly used loop diuretics is frequently present in patients with advanced HF. In patients with decompensated HF with volume overload who are loop diuretic resistant, ultrafiltration may be the only available option. This is, however, an invasive procedure. For these patients, natriuretic doses of aldosterone antagonists (spironolactone >50 mg/day) may be a potential option. The competitive natriuretic response of aldosterone antagonists is related to activity of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system: the higher the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system activity, the higher the dose of aldosterone antagonist required to produce natriuresis. This article will discuss the potential use of natriuretic doses of aldosterone antagonists in patients with HF, including the potential side effect of hyperkalemia. (Circ Heart Fail. 2009;2:370-376.)
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Heart failure (HF) has emerged as a disease with significant public health implications. There are ~5 million patients with HF in the United States, and >400 000 new cases are diagnosed annually.1 Given the increased use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), β-blockers, and low-dose aldosterone antagonists, and device therapies such as cardiac resynchronization therapy, the mortality curve for patients with chronic HF has been attenuated somewhat2–4; however, the risk of death within 5 years of diagnosis is still >50%.

Cirrhosis and HF are disorders with a common pathophysiology of decreased effective arterial blood volume with resultant stimulation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), sympathetic nervous system, and arginine vasopressin causing increased sodium and water retention. This arterial underfilling is caused by splanchnic vasodilatation in cirrhosis and decreased cardiac output in HF. In cirrhotic patients with refractory ascites, the standard of first-line care is mineralocorticoid antagonist (eg, spironolactone in doses up to 400 mg/day). However, natriuretic doses of aldosterone antagonists have not been examined in patients with HF.

Since the introduction of the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES),6 the use of aldosterone antagonists in patients with HF has increased worldwide. However, the reduction in the risk of death in the study does not seem to be due to an effect of spironolactone on sodium retention because in their dose-finding study 25 mg of spironolactone daily had no apparent effect on sodium retention score, urinary sodium excretion, or body weight.7 Therefore, it is likely that spironolactone in this dose is primarily cardioprotective. The present article will review the potential role of natriuretic doses of aldosterone antagonist in patients with HF.

Common Pathophysiology of Cardiac Failure and Cirrhosis

During the last 3 decades, an understanding of the pathogenesis of edematous disorders has evolved.8–11 Estimates of circulating blood volume distribution indicate that ~85% of blood circulates on the low-pressure, venous side of the circulation, whereas an estimated 15% of blood is circulating in the high-pressure arterial circulation. Although there are low-pressure receptors on the venous side of the circulation, body fluid volume regulation primarily involves the integrity of the arterial circulation as the primary modulator of renal water and sodium regulation. Underfilling of the arterial
circulation can occur secondary to either a decrease in cardiac output (Figure 1A) or a relative underfilling caused by systemic arterial vasodilation as seen in cirrhosis, high-output failure (eg, beriberi, thyrotoxicosis), and pregnancy (Figure 1B).

This hypothesis of body fluid regulation is only applicable in the absence of renal parenchymal disease, thus excluding edema associated with chronic renal diseases and/or nephrotic syndrome. Normally, a tonic inhibition of nonosmotic vasopressin release and central sympathetic outflow is present via afferent vagal and glossopharyngeal neural pathways. With a decrease in arterial baroreceptor stretch during low-output cardiac failure or systemic arterial vasodilation, there is nonosmotic vasopressin release and an increase in sympathetic tone. The enhanced sympathetic tone increases RAAS activity via renal β-adrenergic stimulation; both the sympathetic and angiotensin pathways increase systemic vascular resistance and renal sodium and water retention to maintain circulatory integrity by attenuating the arterial underfilling. The increased nonosmotic vasopressin release stimulates V1a receptors on vascular smooth muscle cells to increase vascular resistance and V2 receptors in the collecting duct to increase renal water reabsorption.

The effect of angiotensin to stimulate aldosterone and the failure to escape from the renal sodium retaining effect of aldosterone contributes to edema formation.

Thus, patients with HF and cirrhosis share a common pathophysiology of arterial underfilling secondary to decreased cardiac output and decreased systemic vascular resistance, respectively, with eventual stimulation of neurohumoral axis and sodium and water retention. Although these compensatory mechanisms cause acute hemodynamic improvement, chronic activation of these systems contributes to an increased cardiac preload, increased cardiac afterload, increased myocardial workload, arrhythmia, and decreased myocardial relaxation in patients with HF with exaggerated renal vasoconstriction. The resultant renal vasoconstriction and sodium and water retention lead to ascites and hepatorenal syndrome in advanced cirrhosis and cardiorenal syndrome in patients with HF.

**Activation of the RAAS in HF**

RAAS activation in patients with HF has been shown to correlate directly with mortality. Angiotensin II is known to cause myocardial remodeling, and the resultant increase in aldosterone may increase myocardial fibrosis and necrosis in the heart. Moreover, angiotensin II is known to be a potent stimulator of the sympathetic nervous syndrome. There is also experimental evidence for angiotensin generation in the central nervous system during cardiac failure, as evidenced by an increase in angiotensin concentration in the cerebrospinal fluid. Increased angiotensin and decreased nitric oxide in the brain have been implicated as mediators of the blunting of baroreceptor sensitivity in experimental HF. The increase in renal sympathetic tone, secondary to this baroreceptor perturbation, would be expected to cause sodium retention by several mechanisms. Angiotensin and adrenergic nerve stimulation both activate receptors on the proximal tubule epithelium, which enhances sodium reabsorption. Furthermore, the resultant decreased sodium delivery to the distal nephron impairs the normal escape mechanism from the sodium-retaining effect of aldosterone. Vasoconstriction of the glomerular efferent arteriole by angiotensin II in HF also alters net Starling forces in the peritubular capillary by decreasing hydrostatic and increasing oncotic pressure in a direction to enhance sodium reabsorption.

Aldosterone may cause cardiovascular injury by mechanisms independent of its salt retention and hypertensive effects. Aldosterone activates mineralocorticoid receptors in nonpithelial tissues and, in the presence of high sodium intake causes oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, and fibrosis leading to cardiovascular and renal injury.

**Comparison of Risk Factors for Poor Survival in Advanced Cardiac Failure and Cirrhosis**

Several common markers have been proposed to predict poor survival in patients with HF and cirrhosis. Increased neurohumoral stimulation and renal failure have been the most notable and portend the worst prognosis. In HF, elevated plasma norepinephrine, arginine vasopressin, renin activity, endothelin, and B-type natriuretic peptide have been shown to
be significant predictors of outcome in multivariate analyses in different trials. Elevated plasma aldosterone is also associated with higher mortality in HF. Results of the multicenter Cooperative North Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study in patients with severe HF, New York Heart Association class IV, demonstrated that elevated plasma aldosterone levels were associated with increased 6-month mortality. This higher mortality rate occurred in patients with abnormally high plasma levels of angiotensin II and renin. Furthermore, this research demonstrated that when levels of aldosterone, angiotensin II, or renin were reduced with ACEIs, there was a concomitant reduction in mortality. In multiple studies, any detectable decrease in renal function has also shown to increase mortality in patients with HF.

Similar to HF, cirrhosis is a heterogeneous disease with the same pathophysiology of arterial underfilling and increased neurohormones including aldosterone. Plasma aldosterone concentrations are elevated in both severe HF and cirrhosis. In both HF and cirrhosis, patients with resistance to loop diuretics have been found to have much higher plasma aldosterone concentration, and they have better natriuresis with mineralocorticoid antagonist therapy. Moreover, patients with increased plasma renin activity and increased aldosterone and norepinephrine levels have a high probability of developing hepatorenal syndrome that predicts high mortality in the absence of liver transplantation.

**Role of Diuretics in the Management of Cirrhosis and Cardiac Failure**

**Cirrhosis With Ascites**

Management of ascites is based on improving the renal sodium excretion with diuretics and dietary sodium restriction. Large-volume paracentesis and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts are also useful in managing patients with refractory ascites.

**Spironolactone and Loop Diuretics**

Loop and distal diuretics are the basic drugs for the treatment of ascites. Although pharmacological studies indicate that the natriuretic potency of loop diuretics is much greater than that of more distal diuretics, the administration of relatively high doses of furosemide (up to 160 mg/day) to nonazotemic cirrhotic patients causes a satisfactory natriuresis in only 50% of patients. Mechanisms involved in this poor diuretic effect include reduced tubular secretion of furosemide into the lumen, decreased delivery of fluid to the loop of Henle secondary to enhanced proximal sodium reabsorption, and finally, hyperaldosteronism. Hyperaldosteronism plays a major role in the pathogenesis of ascites as suggested by elevated plasma aldosterone concentrations and marked increases in both of the major aldosterone-sensitive apical transport proteins of renal tubule, namely, the thiazide-sensitive sodium chloride cotransporter and the epithelial sodium channel α subunit. The consequence is that much of the sodium that is not reabsorbed in the loop of Henle, secondary to the action of furosemide or other loop diuretics, is subsequently reabsorbed in the distal nephron. In a comparative trial in patients with cirrhosis, spironolactone was found to be more effective than furosemide, which exhibited an impaired diuretic response secondary to increased RAAS activity. Thus, patients with marked hyperaldosteronism did not respond to furosemide and required high doses of spironolactone (400 to 600 mg/day). On the basis of these findings, the International Club of Ascites defined diuretic resistance in patients with ascites as those unresponsive to a sodium-restricted diet and high-dose (400 mg/day spironolactone and 160 mg/day furosemide) diuretic treatment.

**Cardiac Failure**

Current therapy has focused on improving symptoms and hemodynamics. Nevertheless, the length of hospital stay, although variable in different countries, has remained relatively stable for patients with acute decompensated HF.

**Diuretics**

In patients with symptomatic HF, there is little question about the positive role of providing symptomatic relief from pulmonary and peripheral congestion. However, the use of loop diuretics in HF may be a double-edged sword. There have not been any large randomized controlled trials that have evaluated the safety of loop diuretics in HF. There is, however, a meta-analysis of 3 small randomized trials that concludes a benefit of diuretics on mortality. The use of diuretics in some cardiac failure patients occasionally may lead to deterioration of renal function. Loop diuretics also may improve cardiac function by decreasing cardiac filling pressure, functional mitral insufficiency, ventricular wall stress, and endomyocardial ischemia (Figure 2). In some patients, this may also lead to improved renal function. However, when the rate of fluid removal in cardiac failure patients exceeds the estimated rate of fluid mobilization from the interstitium to vascular compartment (>12 to 14 mL/min), intravascular volume depletion may ensue with resultant decreased cardiac preload and cardiac index. This leads to further stimulation of the neurohumoral system (sympathetic nervous system, RAAS, and arginine vasopressin) with renal vasoconstriction, sodium and water retention, and deterioration of renal function. Moreover, in addition to the inhibition of the Na,K,Cl cotransporter in the thick medullary ascending loop of Henle,
Loop diuretics also block sodium chloride transport at the macula densa, which directly stimulates the RAAS independent of renal sodium loss. Activation of the RAAS has been shown to be a major factor in the occurrence of diuretic resistance (Figure 3). In a porcine study of tachycardia-induced HF, furosemide administration shortened the time to left ventricular dysfunction and elevated serum aldosterone concentrations compared with placebo independent of detectable differences in cardiac preload. In addition, chronic administration of loop diuretics has been shown to cause hypertrophy of the distal nephron and increase expression of the sodium chloride cotransporter, effects that can be reversed by a mineralocorticoid antagonist.

Thus, loop diuretics may cause worsening of already increased RAAS activation and secondary hyperaldosteronism in patients with HF. Furthermore, there is failure to escape from the sodium-retaining effect of aldosterone because of persistent activation of the renin-angiotensin and sympathetic systems causing enhanced proximal tubular sodium absorption and decreased distal sodium delivery. As a result of failure to escape from the sodium-retaining effect of aldosterone, the natriuretic effect of loop diuretics is blunted by increased reabsorption distally at the collecting tubular site of aldosterone action. Thus, inhibition of mineralocorticoid receptors, in addition to loop diuretics, may cause a significant natriuresis. This could be crucial in the management of patients with cirrhosis. In the RALES, a dose of 25 to 50 mg had no apparent natriuretic effect as evidenced by no change in total body weight, sodium retention score, or urinary sodium excretion. In patients with cirrhosis, the natriuretic response to spironolactone is related to activity of the RAAS. In cirrhosis studies, patients with marked hyperaldosteronism causing resistance to furosemide may require high doses (up to 400 mg/day) of spironolactone to reverse this diuretic resistance. The same resistance to loop diuretics may be true for patients with HF who share a pathophysiology of arterial underfilling similar to that of cirrhotic patients. In this regard in a small trial of 6 avidly sodium retaining patients with HF, doses of spironolactone (200 mg BID in the study) that were adequate to block an increased endogenous concentration of plasma aldosterone caused a marked increase in sodium excretion and indeed led to sodium balance over a few days with a clinically negligible rise in serum potassium concentration (Figure 4). In another study, 81% of the patients with severe HF, who were resistant to high-dose loop diuretics (10 mg of bumetanide) and captopril, responded with increased natriuresis with the use of 100 mg/day spironolactone. At baseline, these resistant patients had higher plasma aldosterone concentrations and low urine Na/K ratio compared with nonresistant patients, thus suggesting the presence of more marked hyperaldosteronism. The authors did not encounter hyperkalemia in any of those patients. In a study by Braunwald et al., spironolactone (100 mg/day) increased sodium excretion in all 3 cardiac patients who were studied. Although natriuretic doses of spironolactone are standard therapy in the management of patients with cirrhosis, there has been no large clinical trial evaluating the role of natriuretic doses of mineralocorticoid antagonist in patients with HF.

The Acute Decompensated HF National Registry reports that most HF hospitalizations are because of congestion in patients refractory to oral diuretics. Despite using intravenous diuretics in 90% of these patients with HF, the average hospitalization for decompensated HF is 4.3 days, with 42% of the patients discharged with unresolved symptoms, 50% losing ≥5 pounds, and 20% gaining weight during the hospitalization. Thus, unresolved congestion may contribute to high readmission rates. Approximately 25% to 30% of these patients with HF develop diuretic resistance, defined as reduced diuresis and natriuresis in response to a constant high dose of loop diuretics. Current pharmacological therapies for diuretic resistance in HF have limited success. Ultrafiltration using either central or peripheral venous access is an attractive option to manage the volume overload in these patients. However, it is an invasive procedure and offers...
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potassium-retaining properties of mineralocorticoid antagonists are used in conjunction with loop diuretics, their renal potassium-losing effect may counterbalance the antagonists, particularly in the presence of ACEIs, ARBs, and/or β-blockers. ACEIs and ARBs are not used in patients with cirrhosis, but because of their beneficial effects on survival and hospital readmission, they are standard therapy in the management of HF. However, if mineralocorticoid antagonists are used in conjunction with loop diuretics, their renal potassium-loss effect may counterbalance the potassium-retaining properties of mineralocorticoid antagonists. The main mechanism behind mineralocorticoid antagonist-induced hyperkalemia is decreased potassium secretion in the collecting tubules. In contrast, loop diuretics increase distal sodium delivery, and the resultant enhanced tubular flow rate increases potassium secretion at collecting duct sites. In the RALES, the median potassium concentration increased only by 0.30 mmol/L. Similarly, in the Eplerenone Neurohormonal Efficacy and Survival trial, the incidence of serious hyperkalemia was no different between the eplerenone and placebo groups. The mineralocorticoid antagonist doses in these studies were nonnatriuretic. However, the subsequent Canadian epidemiological study reported increases in hyperkalemia-associated morbidity and mortality after the publication of RALES. The relationship with the RALES can be questioned, however, because nonnatriuretic doses should not inhibit the passive secretion of potassium in the collecting duct.

Because hypomagnesemia, as may occur with loop diuretics, has the potential for causing arrhythmias, the magnesium-sparing property of spironolactone and eplerenone could be beneficial in this area. Although the serum magnesium concentration may increase with natriuretic doses of mineralocorticoid antagonist, hypermagnesemia is rare. There is, however, the danger of hyperkalemia in patients with HF treated with natriuretic doses of mineralocorticoid antagonists, particularly in the presence of ACEIs, ARBs, and/or β-blockers. ACEIs and ARBs are not used in patients with cirrhosis, but because of their beneficial effects on survival and hospital readmission, they are standard therapy in the management of HF. However, if mineralocorticoid antagonists are used in conjunction with loop diuretics, their renal potassium-loss effect may counterbalance the potassium-retaining properties of mineralocorticoid antagonists.

Conclusion

Hyperaldosteronism has increasingly been recognized as a risk factor for myocardial and vascular fibrosis. Loop diuretics are the mainstay of therapy for HF but are associated with worsening of hyperaldosteronism. Mineralocorticoid antagonists are the preferred diuretics in cirrhosis and have been shown to have better natriuretic response compared with loop diuretics. Cirrhosis and HF have important similarities in the mechanisms of sodium retention, including marked hyperaldosteronism. However, in patients with HF, only a low dose of spironolactone, which has no natriuretic response, has been studied. Natriuretic doses of spironolactone may provide
supplementary benefit over and above their effect on myo-
cardial and vascular fibrosis. This possibility warrants testing
in patients with HF, particularly in carefully designed ran-
domized controlled pilot trial. Moreover, the potassium-
losing effect of loop diuretics would also blunt any tendency
of mineralocorticoid antagonists to cause hyperkalemia.
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