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Res-Erection of Viagra as a Heart Drug

David A. Kass, MD

In 1998, the world was formally introduced to Viagra (sildenafil), the first oral agent to be approved in the United States for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. Development by Pfizer chemists, sildenafil inhibited phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5), one of the 11-member superfamily of enzymes that hydrolyze cyclic nucleotide monophosphates and among the first discovered that was selective for cGMP. PDE5 had been identified more than 2 decades earlier, first as a protein that bound cGMP and later as one that hydrolyzed it (the binding function turning out to be a mechanism to regulate activity). Sildenafil induces vasorelaxation by blocking PDE5-cGMP hydrolysis, raising cGMP levels in smooth muscle to activate protein kinase G (also known as cGK). In platelets, this blunts thrombosis; together, the effects suggested a potential use for coronary vascular disease and hypertension. Pfizer initiated trials targeting coronary ischemia, but, although antianginal effects were disappointing, the study gave a whole new meaning to the term “side effect,” effectively hijacking sildenafil from cardiovascular development to a rather different indication.

There were still some cardiovascular studies performed, but essentially all were limited assessments of volunteer populations, mostly normal and at rest and some with cardiovascular disease; the results were interpreted to support safety for patients taking the drug. In particular, blood pressure changes were slight if present at all, and cardiac function appeared unaltered. Well into the mid 2000s, PDE5 was thought to be expressed in selective vascular beds, lung and corpus cavernosum being dominant, and to have a minor expression rises further in patients with pulmonary hypertension.2 PDE5 is highly expressed in lung, and this expression rises further in patients with pulmonary vascular disease. Other studies started examining secondary manifestations for these changes, including PKG targeting mechanisms for these changes, including PKG targeting of regulator of G-protein–coupled signaling-2, transient receptor potential canonical channel–6 (TRPC6), troponin I, with other pathways under investigation.

While these experimental data were evolving, clinical investigators began exploring the potential for PDE5 inhibitors to improve patients with established heart failure. Initially, the primary focus was on vascular effects, and in separate studies of dilated cardiomyopathy patients (80 subjects collectively, randomly assigned to placebo or sildenafil), the Semigran5 and Guazzi18 laboratories reported substantially improved exercise performance and quality of life. Sildenafil was shown to enhance exercise cardiac output while reducing pulmonary but not systemic vascular resistance. It improved endothelial function and suppress the peripheral skeletal ergoreflex (ventilatory stimulation associated with skeletal muscle contraction), suggesting improved safety for patients taking the drug. In particular, blood pressure changes were slight if present at all, and cardiac function appeared unaltered. Well into the mid 2000s, PDE5 was thought to be expressed in selective vascular beds, lung and corpus cavernosum being dominant, and to have a minor role in the heart.

Sildenafil reemergence as a cardiovascular medicine came with evidence that it was effective for treating primary pulmonary hypertension.2 PDE5 is highly expressed in lung, and this expression rises further in patients with pulmonary vascular disease. Other studies started examining secondary pulmonary hypertension such as that associated with heart failure, and again sildenafil appeared to offer benefits. Potential cardiac effects, however, were thought secondary to vascular unloading.

Things began to change with respect to the heart with the 2001 report by Senzaki et al9 showing PDE5 inhibition could blunt β-adrenergic–stimulated inotropy in vivo. This was met with some skepticism because myocyte PDE5 expression/activity had been previously considered minimal. Shortly thereafter came work from the Kukreja laboratory demonstrating benefits of PDE5 inhibition on myocardial postischemic injury and cell survival signaling.7,8 In 2005, Takimoto et al10 reported that sildenafil could both block and reverse maladaptive cardiac remodeling induced by sustained pressure overload using a mouse model (aortic banding). This suggested that there was a primary effect on the heart because ventricular loading remained elevated despite treatment. Other studies followed, one confirming that sildenafil inhibited acute β-adrenergic stimulation in the human heart10 and others that it suppressed myocardial toxicity from doxorubicin.11

Over the past several years, evidence has continued to grow supporting a regulatory role of PDE5 in the heart and cardiac myocytes. These data reveal PDE5 to be normally expressed at very low levels but to function in a microdomain in the cell regulating a strategic cGMP pool.12 The impact of PDE5 inhibition via cGMP/PKG acts much like a brake, with negligible effects at rest, but a capacity to counter cardiac stress conditions. PDE5 expression and/or activity rises in heart failure and hypertrophy, and such upregulation has been recently been shown to contribute to the development of hypertrophy and failure.13 Our laboratory just reported on a mouse model in which cardiomyocyte PDE5 expression could be genetically controlled in both directions. Increases worsened pathophysiology-induced pressure-overload, by whereas a decline in expression reversed preexisting pathological hypertrophy, dysfunction, and fibrosis, mimicking changes with sildenafil.14 Other studies have begun identifying mechanisms for these changes, including PKG targeting of regulator of G-protein–coupled signaling-2, transient receptor potential canonical channel–6 (TRPC6),16 and troponin I,17 with other pathways under investigation.
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delivery of blood to exercising muscles. In both studies, systemic blood pressures were unaltered.

In this issue of Circulation: Heart Failure, a new and important study from the Guazzi laboratory critically extends these observations—providing intriguing evidence that sildenafil’s impact on the failing heart involves improvement of both systolic and diastolic function and can trigger reverse remodeling. The study size and design was similar to their 2007 trial (slightly higher sildenafil dose this time) but doubled the follow-up duration. Improved exercise capacity and symptoms and lack of change in systemic arterial pressure but decline in noninvasive estimated pulmonary systolic pressure, all similar to that observed after 3 to 6 months of therapy, were sustained to 1 year. What is new is the evidence that myocardial function was also altered. The rise in left ventricular ejection fraction from 30% to 36.3% over 1 year was accompanied by a fall in diastolic volume index. The study particularly focused on diastolic function examined by echo and tissue Doppler methods. Although none of the parameters change in the placebo group, sildenafil treatment shortened estimated isovolumic relaxation rate and lowered estimated left ventricular diastolic pressure (E/E’). Atrial volume index declined accompanied by a reduction of circulating amino-terminal fragment of B-type natriuretic peptide.

These left ventricular functional changes occurred without any obvious vascular afterload reduction, nor were they replicated by acute sildenafil administration, suggesting that they resulted from a more chronic reversal of maladaptive chamber remodeling. The authors did not report heart rate, cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic volumes, making it a bit more difficult to dissect the hemodynamics further. If indeed stroke volume was preserved yet cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic pressure but decline in noninvasive estimated pulmonary systolic pressure, all similar to that observed after 3 to 6 months of therapy, were sustained to 1 year. What is new is the evidence that myocardial function was also altered. The rise in left ventricular ejection fraction from 30% to 36.3% over 1 year was accompanied by a fall in diastolic volume index. The study particularly focused on diastolic function examined by echo and tissue Doppler methods. Although none of the parameters change in the placebo group, sildenafil treatment shortened estimated isovolumic relaxation rate and lowered estimated left ventricular diastolic pressure (E/E’). Atrial volume index declined accompanied by a reduction of circulating amino-terminal fragment of B-type natriuretic peptide.

These left ventricular functional changes occurred without any obvious vascular afterload reduction, nor were they replicated by acute sildenafil administration, suggesting that they resulted from a more chronic reversal of maladaptive chamber remodeling. The authors did not report heart rate, cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic volumes, making it a bit more difficult to dissect the hemodynamics further. If indeed stroke volume was preserved yet cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic pressure but decline in noninvasive estimated pulmonary systolic pressure, all similar to that observed after 3 to 6 months of therapy, were sustained to 1 year. What is new is the evidence that myocardial function was also altered. The rise in left ventricular ejection fraction from 30% to 36.3% over 1 year was accompanied by a fall in diastolic volume index. The study particularly focused on diastolic function examined by echo and tissue Doppler methods. Although none of the parameters change in the placebo group, sildenafil treatment shortened estimated isovolumic relaxation rate and lowered estimated left ventricular diastolic pressure (E/E’). Atrial volume index declined accompanied by a reduction of circulating amino-terminal fragment of B-type natriuretic peptide.

These left ventricular functional changes occurred without any obvious vascular afterload reduction, nor were they replicated by acute sildenafil administration, suggesting that they resulted from a more chronic reversal of maladaptive chamber remodeling. The authors did not report heart rate, cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic volumes, making it a bit more difficult to dissect the hemodynamics further. If indeed stroke volume was preserved yet cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic pressure but decline in noninvasive estimated pulmonary systolic pressure, all similar to that observed after 3 to 6 months of therapy, were sustained to 1 year. What is new is the evidence that myocardial function was also altered. The rise in left ventricular ejection fraction from 30% to 36.3% over 1 year was accompanied by a fall in diastolic volume index. The study particularly focused on diastolic function examined by echo and tissue Doppler methods. Although none of the parameters change in the placebo group, sildenafil treatment shortened estimated isovolumic relaxation rate and lowered estimated left ventricular diastolic pressure (E/E’). Atrial volume index declined accompanied by a reduction of circulating amino-terminal fragment of B-type natriuretic peptide.

These left ventricular functional changes occurred without any obvious vascular afterload reduction, nor were they replicated by acute sildenafil administration, suggesting that they resulted from a more chronic reversal of maladaptive chamber remodeling. The authors did not report heart rate, cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic volumes, making it a bit more difficult to dissect the hemodynamics further. If indeed stroke volume was preserved yet cardiac output, stroke volume, or left ventricular end-systolic pressure but decline in noninvasive estimated pulmonary systolic pressure, all similar to that observed after 3 to 6 months of therapy, were sustained to 1 year. What is new is the evidence that myocardial function was also altered. The rise in left ventricular ejection fraction from 30% to 36.3% over 1 year was accompanied by a fall in diastolic volume index. The study particularly focused on diastolic function examined by echo and tissue Doppler methods. Although none of the parameters change in the placebo group, sildenafil treatment shortened estimated isovolumic relaxation rate and lowered estimated left ventricular diastolic pressure (E/E’). Atrial volume index declined accompanied by a reduction of circulating amino-terminal fragment of B-type natriuretic peptide.
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