Cardiovascular diseases in general and heart failure (HF) in particular are major contributors to death and morbidity in the Western world, where they are also recognized as important drivers of healthcare expenditure. The health and economic burden of these disorders is projected to increase with the aging of populations around the world.1–3 On the basis of accumulating evidence that chronic overactivity of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) plays a fundamental role in HF pathophysiology, drugs inhibiting key components of the RAAS have become a cornerstone of contemporary cardiovascular drug therapy.4–6 For example, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) reduce biosynthesis of angiotensin-II (Ang-II), 1 of the strongest vasoconstrictors, prohypertrophic and profibrotic hormones in man. Moreover, ACEi may prevent proteolysis of bradykinin, thus enhancing bradykinin-mediated vasodilatory effects that may counteract the profound vasoconstriction seen in patients with HF.7 Excessive levels of Ang-II have been implicated in many cardiovascular diseases, and in addition to ACEi, the detrimental actions of Ang-II can be abrogated by direct angiotensin-receptor blockers (ARB). However, despite encouraging results from many clinical trials, ACEi- and ARBs-based pharmacotherapy is still far from optimal. ACEi may lose their efficacy over time because of redundant Ang-II–generating pathways and the so-called aldosterone escape,8 whereas conventional ARBs do not possess the bradykinin-enhancing properties of ACEi and are considered less effective in HF compared with ACEi.4,5

The natriuretic peptides (NPs), consisting of atrial NP (ANP), B-type NP (BNP), C-type NP (CNP), and urodilatin, are predominantly generated by the heart, vasculature, kidney, and central nervous system in response to wall stress and number of other stimuli. Importantly the NPs, particularly ANP and BNP, represent the body’s own blood pressure (BP)–lowering system. Besides promoting vasodilation, NPs counteract pathological growth, fibrosis, and dysfunction of heart, kidneys, brain, and the vasculature. Current NP-augmenting strategies include the design of a number of synthetic NPs and inhibition of nephrilysin, the key enzyme responsible for NP breakdown. Dual-acting angiotensin-receptor nephrilysin inhibitors (ARNi) are under scientific scrutiny for the treatment of hypertension and HF.

This review summarizes the current knowledge on RAAS blockade and NP-augmenting drugs as single or combined strategies in HF. We will discuss challenges that have been met with some of these compounds and novel therapeutic agents currently being evaluated, which could strengthen our pharmacological armamentarium for HF.

**Renin–Angiotensin Aldosterone System**

The RAAS is fundamental in the overall regulation of cardiovascular homeostasis through the actions of important hormones, which regulate vascular tone, and specifically BP through vasoconstriction and renal sodium and water retention. These hormones, specifically Ang-II and aldosterone, also possess direct actions that are important in HF by mediating cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and cardiac fibrosis with activation of collagen synthesis and fibroblast proliferation (Figure 1).9–11 RAAS is also causally involved in the pathophysiology of cardiorenal syndrome in HF, which carries a particularly poor prognosis. Thus, blockade of RAAS has become a central therapeutic strategy for HF using RAAS modulating drugs, such as ACEi, ARBs, and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA).4

To date these agents have had a positive impact on HF with improvements in symptoms, outcomes, and survival. Indeed their use is increasingly widespread and their use is moving from symptomatic HF into earlier stages of mild and asymptomatic myocardial dysfunction to delay the progression of HF. Recently, a pivotal trial was completed with the MRA epleronone in patients with systolic HF and mild symptoms.12 Importantly, MRAs compared with placebo reduced the risk of the both death and hospitalization, thus delaying disease progression and providing further momentum to this continuously expanding therapeutic modality. In addition, the MRA spironolactone is under investigation in the ongoing
TOPCAT (Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure with an Aldosterone Antagonist Trial) trial for efficacy in HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFPEF), a disease entity for which no specific treatment recommendations exist.4,13

Direct renin inhibition upstream of ACE, well-known for decades as a RAAS-blocking concept, prevents the generation of Ang-I and thus, Ang-II. The first-in-class drug aliskiren is currently being evaluated in 2 clinical HF trials, the Aliskiren Trial on Acute Heart Failure Outcomes (ASTRONAUT) and the Aliskiren Trial of Minimizing Outcomes for Patients with Heart Failure (ATMOSPHERE).14–16 ASTRONAUT set out to evaluate the primary and the secondary composite end points of cardiovascular death or HF rehospitalization at 6 and 12 months, respectively, in 1782 patients recently hospitalized with HF and reduced systolic left ventricular (LV) function. The very recently published study showed that aliskiren in addition to standard therapy failed to reduce primary or secondary end points but led to significantly larger decrease from baseline in NT-proBNP levels.16 Subgroup analysis demonstrated increased all-cause mortality at 12 months for patients with a history of diabetes mellitus randomized to aliskiren, whereas patients with non–diabetes mellitus showed net benefit compared with placebo. The reason for such a bidirectional effect of aliskiren on all-cause mortality depending on the presence or on the absence of diabetes mellitus deserves further evaluation. In a similar but considerably larger HF cohort (planned enrollment, n=7000), ATMOSPHERE will compare effects on mortality and morbidity by aliskiren, enalapril, or dual aliskiren+enalapril treatment. Recent evidence suggest similar rates of angioedema with aliskiren and ACEi.17

Perhaps the latest advance in RAAS blockade in HF has been the development of innovative AT1 receptor antagonists, which possess dual actions that go beyond simple antagonism of Ang-II binding. Like conventional ARBs, these molecules target the superfamily of G-protein–coupled receptors. Activation of G-protein–coupled receptors by an agonist leads to intracellular dissociation of a heterotrimeric G-protein into $G_{a}$ and $G_{b/c}$ subunits, resulting in activation of second messenger–mediated cellular responses. The 13 arrestins are a second group of proteins, which activate specific signaling pathways in a G-protein–independent manner. Studies have shown that some ligands can selectively activate either G-protein or 13 arrestin pathways.18 We recently reported the actions of a novel 13-arrestin–biased ligand for the Ang-II type 1 (AT1R), TRV120027.19 TRV120027 antagonizes G-protein signaling like an ARB, but, unlike conventional AT1 receptor antagonists, it activates 13 arrestin and downstream signals. In rodents, TRV12007 has vasodilating effects similar to a conventional ARB, but, unlike an ARB, enhanced cardiac contractility while decreasing myocardial oxygen

**Figure 1.** Simplified schematic of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system. A multitude of stressor signals induce the angiotensin gene. The prohormone angiotensinogen is cleaved by the protease renin to the direct precursor angiotensin-I (Ang-I), and further to biologically active angiotensin-II (Ang-II). These steps can be inhibited by renin-inhibitors or ACE-inhibitors (ACE), respectively, but important alternative Ang-II–generating pathways exist. Alternative splicing of Ang-I and prohormones Ang-(1–12) or Ang-(1–9) by neprilysin (NEP) results in generation of Ang-(1–7). Binding of mature Ang-II to the type-1 angiotensin receptor (ATR-1) activates intracellular signaling cascades that exert adverse biological effects within the cardiovascular system, such as pathological cardiac hypertrophy, vascular remodeling, and renal fibrosis.
consumption. In a large animal model of HF, TRV12007, in combination with furosemide, has potent renal and systemic vasodilating properties and preserved glomerular filtration rate (GFR), despite a reduction in BP. Currently, TRV12007 has entered early trials in acute decompensated HF (ADHF).

Together, although efficient RAAS blockade can be achieved at multiple levels, ACEi and MRA remain the cornerstone of contemporary HF pharmacotherapy. Despite initial enthusiasm because of their greater tolerability compared with ACEi, ARBs offer little cardioprotection at least after MI, and do, therefore, no longer seem on the A-list of recommended medical therapy for HF. Novel ARBs, including contractility-enhancing compounds, seem promising. RAAS blockade afforded by direct renin-inhibitors may eliminate some of the shortcomings of current strategies but no clinical outcome data in HF are available yet. Moreover, regarding their safety profile important adverse effects do not seem to occur less frequently than with ACEi.

Natriuretic Peptide System

The NP system (NPs; Figure 2) has emerged as an increasingly important autocrine, paracrine, and endocrine system linked to particulate guanylyl cyclase (GC) receptors, the second messenger cGMP and its effector molecule protein kinase G. Originally discovered by de Bold et al.14 who reported that the heart synthesized and released a factor that both not only augmented natriuresis by the kidney, but also possessed BP-lowering properties. This cardiac factor was identified as ANP and recent studies have reported that genetic variations of the ANP gene, which increases circulating levels of ANP and protects against human hypertension. On the basis of these renal and vascular actions of ANP an intravenous combination with furosemide, has potent renal and systemic vasodilating properties and preserved glomerular filtration function in patients with ADHF. However, several other studies, including Acute Dysfunctional Heart Failure Registry (ADHERE), failed to demonstrate these adverse associations with nesiritide therapy. Thus, the ASCEND-HF clinical trial was designed to address these safety and efficacy concerns that were raised since its approval. In the ASCEND HF trial, nesiritide improved symptoms in the European, but not in the United States patient cohort with ADHF and was not superior to conventional therapy in improving mortality in patients with ADHF. Notably, these neutral findings could have been related to excessive hypotension with doses that are potently vasodilating, and thus offsetting the beneficial renal actions of nesiritide. To underscore the importance of the GC-A receptor beyond the actions discussed above and relevant to HF are the antihypertrophic and antiapoptotic actions, which may contribute to long-term favorable antiremodeling actions if a GC-A agonist can be given chronically. Indeed, in a recently completed human trial in mild systolic HF, 8 weeks of BNP administered twice daily by subcutaneous injection improved symptoms and reduced LV mass as determined by MRI.

CNP is the third member of the NP family and is produced in endothelial cells and renal epithelial cells. CNP mediates its biological action through the activation of the GC-B receptor and potentially the non–cGMP-mediated receptor, NPR-C. Although having an important action to promote bone growth, evidence has supported that CNP has important CV actions as well. These include hyperpolarization of vascular smooth muscle, antithrombotic actions, promotion of re-endothelialization, and potent antifibrotic properties. The use of CNP as a HF therapeutic has been limited by both its rapid enzymatic degradation and lack of renal-enhancing actions. A designer CNP-based NP has been engineered, which is now in clinical trials for HF and this will be discussed below.

A key component of the NPS is the ectoenzyme neutral endopeptidase (neprilysin), which is also known as npep. This membrane-bound enzyme is widely expressed, but is most abundant in the kidney. Neprilysin serves as the principal mechanism for enzymatic removal of the native NPs with susceptibility to degradation greatest for CNP>ANP>BNP. Furthermore, many other substrates for neprilysin exist, some of them with opposing physiological actions. These include endothelin-1, kinin peptides, opioid peptides, Substance P, amyloid beta protein, and gastrin.

Importantly, neprilysin hydrolyzes Ang-I to Ang-(1–7), and because Ang-(1–7) opposes the action of Ang-II, the hydrolysis of Ang-I to Ang-(1–7) by neprilysin potentially has beneficial CV effects. Inhibition of NEPi (NEP i) has been advanced as a therapeutic modality. If neprilysin only targeted NPs, NEPi would augment the vasodilating and natriuretic actions afforded by increased levels of these peptides. However, neprilysin’s ability to catabolize numerous substrates also means that sole NEPi yields broader effects than anticipated, and explains why NEPi is best combined with the inhibition of other vasoactive peptides. Candoxatril was the first potent, orally available neprilysin inhibitor. Candoxatril mediated not only a dose-dependent increase in plasma ANP, natriuresis, and
Importantly, candoxatril's effects on BP in patients with hypertension were not clinically meaningful. Candoxatril was also investigated in HF. In a canine model of severe HF, which is characterized by both NP elevation and RAAS activation, candoxatril was natriuretic and suppressed aldosterone. In human HF, candoxatril increased ANP and BNP levels, promoted natriuresis, and decreased clearance of exogenously administered ANP. However, systemic and pulmonary vascular resistances were not altered.

Early strategies to enhance the salutary actions of the NPS have clearly met challenges. Clinical efficacy of recombinant drugs, such as nesiritide, carperitide, or ularitide has been limited by hypotension and their short bioavailability. For the class of single-acting NEPi, as discussed below, their effectiveness to promote the endogenous NPs and to improve overall cardiorenal function was only finally realized when combined with RAAS modulators.

**Designer Natriuretic Peptides**

Therapeutic use of the native NPs has been highly attractive, given their diverse intrinsic protective properties, which include natriuresis, diuresis, RAAS suppressing, inhibition of fibrosis, vasodilatation, and angiogenesis. In an effort to overcome the shortcomings of recombinant NPs outlined above, the concept of designer NPs has emerged as an innovative advancement in drug discovery for the treatment of various CV diseases.

Designer NPs are novel peptides that have been engineered through modifications in their amino acid (AA) structures or through use of genetically altered forms of native NPs. The rationale behind this concept is to produce chimeric NPs whose pharmacological and beneficial biological profiles go beyond those of the native NPs while minimizing undesirable effects.

**CD-NP (Cenderitide)**

The most advanced designer NP to date was designed by investigators in the Cardiorenal Research Laboratory at Mayo Clinic and first reported in 2008. This novel 37 AA hybrid NP named CD-NP (Figure 3), which is now known as cenderitide, consists of the mature form of native human CNP fused with the 15 AA C terminus of dendroaspis NP, which was first isolated from the venom of the green mamba. This unique first-generation designer NP retains the antifibrotic, antiproliferative, and antihypertrophic effects and venodilatation of CNP, as well as natriuretic and diuretic effects of dendroaspid NP, which are very desirable properties for drugs to combat a number of CV diseases, including HF. Importantly, CD-NP also has antiproliferative actions in cultured human cardiac fibroblasts and stimulates cGMP production in these same cells to a greater extent than equimolar concentrations of BNP. In vitro studies have demonstrated CD-NP is the first NP to activate both the GC-A and the GC-B receptor at physiological doses and is more resistant to proteolytic degradation than ANP, BNP, and ANP, BNP, and ANP, BNP, and ANP, BNP, and ANP, BNP, and ANP, BNP.
CNP. In normal canines, intravenous infusion of CD-NP activates plasma cGMP and had natriuretic, diuretic, RAAS-suppressing actions, and unloaded the heart with minimal effects on mean atrial pressure. Furthermore, when compared with conventional recombinant BNP (nesiritide) therapy, an equimolar dose of CD-NP significantly increased GFR and was less hypotensive than BNP. Moreover, infusion of CD-NP in experimental HF induced by rapid ventricular pacing also had significant cardiac-unloading effects, increases in GFR, renal perfusion, diuresis and natriuresis, and reductions in plasma renin activity together with a modest reduction in mean atrial pressure. In healthy human subjects, CD-NP infusion increases in renal-enhancing, cardiac-unloading, and RAAS-suppressing actions without excessive hypotension. CU-NP has also direct antihypertrophic effects through the inhibition of the sodium–hydrogen exchanger 1(NHE-1)/calcineurin pathway.

Designer NP ANX-042
Another strategy for drug discovery is the biology of alternative RNA splicing which may provide unique opportunities to identify drug targets and therapeutics. We recently reported an alternative spliced transcript for BNP (AS-BNP). This alternative spliced BNP transcript is present in failing human hearts and is reduced after mechanical unloading. The transcript would generate a unique 34 AA C terminus although maintaining the remaining structure of native mature BNP. Importantly, unlike BNP, this novel peptide failed to stimulate cGMP in vascular cells or to vasorelax preconstricted arterial rings. From this structure, we designed a shortened 42-AA peptide from AS-BNP, which is currently known as ANX-042 (Figure 3), and demonstrated its ability to stimulate cGMP, like BNP, in canine glomerular isolates and cultured human mesangial cells but lacking similar effects in vascular cells. In a canine-pacing model of HF, systemic infusion of ANX-042 did not alter mean atrial pressure but increased GFR, suppressed plasma renin and Ang-II, while inducing natriuresis and diuresis. Importantly in 2012, ANX-042 was approved as an investigational new drug from the Food and Drug Administration and now has begun a first-in-human clinical trial as a designer renal-enhancing and nonhypotensive NP, which could make ANX-042 a potential novel renal-selective agent for HF.

In summary, the NPs represent the most important endogenous counterpart to RAAS by conferring cardiac, renal, and vascular protection. Therapeutic augmentation of the NPS in HF has been attempted directly using a broad range of recombinant and engineered NPs, or indirectly by preventing NP degradation (through NEPi). In particular, degradation-resistant NPs, including designer NPs, have shown encouraging early results and are now under evaluation in clinical trials. NEPi as monotherapy to augment NPs has largely produced neutral effects in clinical studies, and, therefore, its greatest potential presumably lies in the combination with blockers of the RAAS and other neurohormonal systems that are causally inflicted in HF.

CU-Natriuretic Peptide
Building on the encouraging findings of cenderitide in both experimental and human studies and designer NP technology, a humanized version of cenderitide, called CU-natriuretic peptide (CU-NP) was created. CU-NP is an engineered NP (Figure 3), consisting of the 17 AA ring of native human CNP linked to both the C and N termini of urodilatin, which is a 32 AA cleavage product of intrarenal processed proANP. Although CU-NP is in the early stages of drug development, initial experimental studies have demonstrated that intravenous infusion of CU-NP activates cGMP in canine HF and exerts renal-enhancing, cardiac-unloading, and RAAS-suppressing actions without excessive hypotension. CU-NP has also direct antihypertrophic effects through the inhibition of the sodium–hydrogen exchanger 1(NHE-1)/calcineurin pathway.

RAAS Blockade Combined With NPS Augmentation

Dual ACE/Nepriyisn (Vasopeptidase) Inhibition in HF
As previously described, the RAAS and NPS have a yin/yang relationship with each system, serving as a counter-regulatory constraint on the activity of the other. This physiological relationship provides the potential to achieve greater benefits with modulation of both systems than manipulation of individual systems. Specifically, the beneficial effects of inhibition of the RAAS may potentially be augmented by enhancement of...
NP activity. Conversely, the disappointing clinical effects of neprilysin inhibitors as monotherapy\textsuperscript{78} may be overcome by combination with RAAS blockade.

Single molecular entities have been developed combining NEP inhibition (NEPi) with both ACEi and ARBs as single molecules (Table).

Early dual NEPi/ACEi agents (vasopeptidase inhibitors), such as sampatrilat, demonstrated promising effect in HF and hypertension but were discontinued because of poor oral bioavailability.\textsuperscript{79–81,96} The most extensively studied ACEi/NEPi thus far has been omapatrilat. Omapatrilat demonstrated equal potency of inhibition and affinity for both enzymes. In a preclinical HF model, omapatrilat prevented cardiac dysfunction and remodeling and improved survival; also, it produced significant BP reductions in low, normal, and high renin hypertension models, including spontaneously hypertensive rats.\textsuperscript{82,97}

Omapatrilat Cardiovascular Treatment Versus Enalapril (OCTAVE) was the definitive clinical outcome trial to evaluate the beneficial effects of omapatrilat (versus enalapril)\textsuperscript{83} in 25,302 untreated or uncontrolled hypertensives. OCTAVE demonstrated improved systolic BP control with omapatrilat and more patients achieving target BP compared with enalapril. The trial, however, reported an increase in prevalence of angioedema in omapatrilat-treated patients, 2.2\% versus 0.7\%. The mechanism underlying this rare, but potentially life-threatening, adverse event is presumably related to enhanced bradykinin levels achieved with blockade of NEP activity.\textsuperscript{80,81,96} Omapatrilat has also been studied in patients with systolic chronic HF. Phase IIB study (IMPRESS [Inhibition of Metalloprotease by Omapatrilat in a Randomized Exercise and Symptoms Study of Heart Failure])\textsuperscript{84} comprising 573 patients compared omapatrilat 40 mg/d with lisinopril 20 mg/d for 24 weeks. Omapatrilat reduced the composite end point of death, HF admission, or discontinuation of study treatment for worsening HF compared with lisinopril and produced a greater improvement in New York Heart Association Class III to IV patients. Furthermore, there seemed to be greater preservation of renal function with omapatrilat. There was no significant angioedema signal observed; indeed there were fewer overall adverse events with omapatrilat compared with lisinopril. These favorable findings led to a major outcome study, Omapatrilat Versus Enalapril Randomized Trial of Utility in Reducing Events (OVERTURE),\textsuperscript{85} which randomized 5770 New York Heart Association Class II to IV systolic patients with HF to enalapril 10 mg twice daily or omapatrilat 40 mg once daily for a mean duration of 14.5 months. The primary end point (death or hospitalization for HF requiring intravenous therapy) was not significantly different compared with enalapril. A twice daily regimen of omapatrilat may have resulted in a smoother pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile (particularly large postdose falls in systemic BP with once-daily omapatrilat), and this may have translated into fewer major primary end point events.

Vasopeptidase inhibition unfortunately exemplifies yet another therapeutic strategy that, despite strong scientific rationale and positive early trials, has not translated into better pharmacotherapy for patients with HF.

### Triple Inhibitors of ACE, Neprilysin, and ET-Converting Enzyme

Endothelin-1 (ET-1) is a pluripotent vasoconstrictor and multifunctional neurohormone that contributes in the progression of HF and many other cardiovascular diseases.\textsuperscript{99–103} ET-1 plasma levels strongly predict mortality in cardiovascular disease and ET-1 production markedly increases in HF.\textsuperscript{102–104} A multitude of ET-1 receptor antagonists have been tested in acute and chronic HF settings, but the majority failed to improve outcomes. Although the concept of ET-1 receptor antagonists in HF is now widely considered as futile, with the exception of HF because of certain forms of pulmonary arterial hypertension, abrogation of ET-1 biosynthesis by ET-converting enzyme (ECE)-inhibition is a strikingly lesser explored avenue. In experimental HF, ECE-inhibition (ECEi) improved cardiorenal function together with reduction of other key neurohormones, such as Ang-II, renin, and aldosterone.\textsuperscript{105–107} ECE may also cleave NPs to a physiologically relevant degree, accordingly its targeting through ECEi might simultaneously augment and suppress NPs and ET-1 levels, respectively.\textsuperscript{108} In human acute HF, ECEi induced favorable hemodynamic changes similar to ET-receptor blockade and on top of ACEi, but no long-term data exist.\textsuperscript{109} Dual ECE/neprilysin inhibition reduced adverse LV remodeling and dysfunction in a post-MI HF model.\textsuperscript{57} The ECE/neprilysin inhibitor SLV-306 (daglutril) was shown to lower LV filling pressures acutely in human HF, and given over time, to reverse elevated plasma ET-1 levels and pathological cardiac remodeling similar to ACE-inhibition in rats with LV hypertrophy.\textsuperscript{80,89} (Table). Daglutril also abrogated big-ET–mediated BP increases and enhanced NP levels in healthy humans.\textsuperscript{110} More recently, SLV-338, a similar dual ECE/neprilysin inhibitor prevented experimental hypertension-induced cardiac fibrosis independently of BP lowering.\textsuperscript{90} Triple ACE/ECE/neprilysin inhibitors have been designed to suppress biosynthesis of Ang-II and ET-1 and to augment vasodilators, including bradykinin, NPs, and adrenomedullin. In rats with HF post-MI, ACE/ECE/neprilysin inhibition improved LV structure and function more than either ACE or ECE/neprilysin inhibition alone.\textsuperscript{86} Although encouraging, these initial results would need to be evaluated in randomized prospective clinical trials. Unfortunately, further clinical development of triple ACE/ECE/neprilysin inhibitors seems to have been abandoned, perhaps because of previous concerns about safety with vasopeptidase inhibitors. The largely negative results from ET-receptor antagonist HF trials may further have tempered enthusiasm for the field. In addition, unlike with RAAS, it seems that for the case of ET the scientific community has made considerably less distinction between the modalities of receptor antagonism and inhibition of biosynthesis (by ECEi).

### Dual-Acting Angiotensin-Receptor/Neprilysin Inhibitors (ARNi)

On the basis of the above considerations on vasopeptidase inhibitors, newer agents combining NEPi with not an ACEi but ARB have been developed, again as single molecules (ARNi; Table). The rationale for these agents is that ARBs are less likely to interfere with bradykinin...
metabolism and thus less likely to contribute to cough and angioedema. LCZ-696 is a fixed dose combination of valsartan and AHU-377 (NEPi prodrug) in a 1:1 ratio and is the first and most clinically advanced compound in this new class. Preclinically, LCZ-696 was able to lower BP in double transgenic (renin, Ang-II overexpression) rats with associated increases in plasma cGMP, renin concentration and activity, and Ang-II levels indicating that appropriate receptors were targeted as per expected pharmacological actions. Furthermore, enhanced tracheal plasma extravasation was not observed with the ARB/NEPi valsartan/candoxatril, suggesting minimal risk of angioedema with the ARB/NEPi combination, further confirmed by recent patient data on ARB and ACEi.

A large Phase II placebo-controlled study of LCZ-696 has recently been undertaken in patients with mild to moderate

### Table. Important Clinical and Preclinical Studies of Combined NEP Inhibitors in Cardiovascular Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Study or Model Characteristics</th>
<th>Study End Points</th>
<th>Key Results (NEPi Drugs vs Comparator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACEi+NEPi (vasopeptidase inhibitors)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampatrilat79</td>
<td>Patients with hypertension</td>
<td>BP, plasma renin activity, urinary cGMP excretion</td>
<td>Good antihypertensive effect. No increase of plasma renin activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampatrilat80</td>
<td>Patients with resistant hypertension</td>
<td>BP, plasma renin activity at 8 wk</td>
<td>Sustained antihypertensive effect superior to ACEi. No increase of plasma renin activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampatrilat81</td>
<td>Preclinical. Rats with HF post-MI</td>
<td>LV hemodynamics and remodeling at 5 wk</td>
<td>Reduced mortality and LV remodeling, improved hemodynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omapatrilat82</td>
<td>Preclinical. Hamsters with HF because of dilated cardiomyopathy.</td>
<td>Survival, LV remodeling and function at 8 wk</td>
<td>Reduced mortality and LV remodeling, improved hemodynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omapatrilat83</td>
<td>Omapatrilat vs enalapril in patients with hypertension (OCTAVE)</td>
<td>BP control at 24 wk</td>
<td>Antihypertensive effect superior to ACEi, but increase of angioedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omapatrilat84</td>
<td>Omapatrilat vs lisinopril in NYHA class II–IV systolic HF (IMPRESS)</td>
<td>Exercise capacity at 12 wk, HF death/ morbidity at 24 wk</td>
<td>Reduced composite of mortality and HF hospitalizations. No angioedema and fewer AE than ACEi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omapatrilat85</td>
<td>Omapatrilat vs enalapril in systolic HF NYHA 2–4 (OVERTURE)</td>
<td>Composite of mortality and HF hospitalizations at 62 wk</td>
<td>No difference in primary end point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEi+NEPi+ECEi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benazepril+daglutril89</td>
<td>Preclinical. Rats with HF post-MI</td>
<td>LV hemodynamics and remodeling after 4 wk treatment</td>
<td>Better preserved LV structure and function than ACEi or ECEi/NEPi alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPi+ECEi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS2630387</td>
<td>Preclinical. Rats with HF post-MI</td>
<td>LV hemodynamics and remodeling after 30 d treatment</td>
<td>Reduced LV remodeling and filling pressures, increased LV function compared with NEPi alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daglutril (SLV-306)88</td>
<td>Preclinical. Rats with hypertension (salt-sensitive Dahl rats)</td>
<td>LV remodeling and neurohormonal activation at 6 wk</td>
<td>Reduced LV remodeling and ET-1 levels similar to ACEi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daglutril (SLV-306)90</td>
<td>Patients with ADHF</td>
<td>Acute hemodynamics after single-bolus dose</td>
<td>Reduced LV filling pressures, but no clear dose–response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLV-33889</td>
<td>Rats with renovascular (2K1C) hypertension</td>
<td>LV remodeling and BP at 12 wk</td>
<td>BP-independent inhibition of cardiac fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB+NEPi (ARNi)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valsartan/candoxatril92</td>
<td>Preclinical study in normal rats</td>
<td>BP effects, tracheal plasma extravasation</td>
<td>Anthypertensive effect of ARNi similar to omapatrilat. No observation of tracheal plasma extravasation/angioedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCZ-69683</td>
<td>Patients with mild to moderate hypertension</td>
<td>BP lowering at 8 wk</td>
<td>Greater reductions in blood pressure with LCZ-696 than ARB alone. Safety end points met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCZ-69684</td>
<td>Patients with HF and preserved EF and elevated NT-proBNP (PARAMOUNT)</td>
<td>NT-proBNP changes, symptoms, LV remodeling</td>
<td>Reduced NT-proBNP and left atrial size, improved NYHA class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCZ-69685</td>
<td>Patients with stable chronic HF and reduced EF (PARADIGM-HF)</td>
<td>Death or HF hospitalization</td>
<td>Ongoing (estimated completion date April 2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACEi indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ADHF, acute decompensated HF; AE, adverse events; AR, angiotensin receptor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; ARNi, angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor; BP, blood pressure; cGMP, cyclic GMP; ECE, ET-converting enzyme; ECEi; ECE-inhibition; EF, ejection fraction; HF, heart failure; IMPRESS, Inhibition of Metalloprotease by Omapatrilat in a Randomized Exercise and Symptoms Study of Heart Failure; LV, left ventricle; MI, myocardial infarction; NEP, neprilysin; NEPi indicates neprilysin inhibitor; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association (functional class); PARADIGM, Efficacy and Safety of LCZ696 Compared to Enalapril on Morbidity and Mortality in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction; PARAMOUNT, prospective comparison of ARNi with ARB on Management Of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.
The key findings after 8 weeks of follow-up were significantly greater reductions in office and ambulatory BP with LCZ-696 compared with the equivalent dose of valsartan alone. Importantly, LCZ-696 was well tolerated and there were no cases of angioedema reported. Neurohormonal biomarker assessment confirmed the expected augmentation of plasma ANP and cGMP, as well as plasma renin in the LCZ-696 cohorts.

LCZ-696 may also have considerable potential in the setting of systolic chronic HF analogous to the attempt to establish omapatrilat as standard background RAAS blocker (replacing ACEi) in this setting in the OVERTURE study conducted a decade earlier. The PARADIGM-HF (Efficacy and Safety of LCZ696 Compared to Enalapril on Morbidity and Mortality in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction) study is an ongoing efficacy and safety assessment.

Figure 4. Cardiac antiremodeling effects of angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors (ARNi) in vitro and in vivo. A and B. Effects of angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) (Valsartan, Val) vs ARNi (Val+NEPi) on Ang-II–stimulated neonatal rat cardiomyocytes hypertrophy (A) and cardiac fibroblasts collagen synthesis (B) as determined by ^3H-leucine and ^3H-proline incorporation, respectively. Data are shown as percentage of unstimulated control (100%); ARB dose dependently attenuated Ang-II–mediated effects in both cell types, with further additional reduction in ARNi-treated cells. Overall, ARNi (Val+NEPi) provided dose-dependent, superior antihypertrophic (A), and antifibrotic (B) effects compared with ARB alone. The effects of neprilysin inhibitor (NEPi) alone in cardiomyocytes were modest, and negligible in fibroblasts. Unstimulated (negative) and Ang-II–stimulated (positive) controls are the first open and solid columns, respectively, from the left. Dashed line demarks level of unstimulated control; ***P<0.001 vs Ang-II–stimulated control, ###P<0.01 ARB+NEPi vs ARB for equal dose of Val, unpaired t test. C–F. Effects of ARNi on cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction (MI). One week after surgical ligation of the left anterior descending coronary artery in outbred male Sprague–Dawley rats (250 g), animals were randomized to treatment with ARNi (LCZ-696; 68 mg/kg body weight administered perorally) with ARNi (n=11; solid columns) or vehicle (n=6; open columns). After 4 weeks of treatment, ARNi-treated rats exhibited significantly smaller weights of all cardiac chambers, consistent with reduced cardiac hypertrophy (C–F).
of LCZ-696 in patients with stable chronic HF (LV ejection fraction <40%). Before randomization to either LCZ-696 20 mg BID or enalapril 10 mg BID, a single-blind run-in period is undertaken. Patients receive (sequentially) enalapril 10 mg BID, LCZ-696 100 mg BID, and then LCZ-696 200 mg BID for a duration between 5 and 8 weeks. Patients postrandomization are then followed until 2410 primary outcome events (CV death or HF hospitalization) have been achieved.

There are a number of interesting design features built in to PARADIGM-HF that are worthy of comment. Specifically, the single-blind run-in period is designed to first switch patients to a standard comparator agent, enalapril, and to establish that they are able to tolerate a dose equivalent to that achieved in the SOLVD treatment study[^111] that forms the basis for ongoing use of ACE-inhibitors in systolic HF (mean of 16.6 mg/d). Patients are then evaluated with regard to tolerability of LCZ-696 at progressively increasing doses, before randomization. The other important design feature of PARADIGM-HF is that, unlike in OVERTURE, patients receive twice daily dosing of LCZ-696. This was deliberately designed to minimize the potential (as alluded to earlier) for large drops in BP and other potential hemodynamic disturbance after administration of the full daily dose given on a once daily basis.

We recently evaluated putative antifibrotic and antihypertrophic efficacy of ARNi in cultured neonatal rat cardiac fibroblasts and myocytes using 3H-proline and 3H-leucine incorporation, respectively, as described.[^112] Cells were stimulated with Ang-II (100 nmol/L) and cotreated with increasing doses of the ARB Valsartan (Val) in the presence and in the absence of LBQ-657, the active metabolite of NEPi prodrug AHU-377. ARNi (ie, Val+NEPi) provided dose-dependent, superior antihypertrophic (1) and antifibrotic (2) effects compared with Val alone. NEPi alone had only modest effect in cardiomyocytes, and, predictably no discernable effect in fibroblasts.[^113] We further explored the potential use of ARNi to modulate cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction. One week after experimental induction of myocardial infarction in rats, animals were randomized to 4 weeks of peroral treatment with ARNi (LCZ-696; n=11) or vehicle (n=6). At end point, ARNi-treated rats exhibited significantly reduced cardiac hypertrophy (Figure 4C–4F). There is considerable further therapeutic potential for ARNi. One obvious area worthy of exploration is that of HFPEF. HFPEF is a heterogenous disorder that is often driven by hypertension and coronary artery disease. To date, therapeutic strategies targeting the RAAS constitute first-line HF pharmacotherapy and underscore the deleterious effects of this system in HF pathogenesis and progression. Therapeutic augmentation of the NPS by inhibition of NP breakdown or administration of synthetic NPs is another promising area under current investigation. Novel concepts in HF seek to maximize the beneficial properties of the NPS coupled with counteracting RAAS or ET to achieve optimal end-organ protection, including the most recent new class of compounds, ARNi. As such, with the promising results of most recent clinical trials examining ARNi, we think new therapeutic opportunities lie ahead.

**Future Directions**

Today there continues to be a high clinical need for novel therapeutic agents that optimally control HF and major predisposing cardiovascular diseases, such as hypertension and coronary artery disease. To date, therapeutic strategies targeting the RAAS constitute first-line HF pharmacotherapy and underscore the deleterious effects of this system in HF pathogenesis and progression. Therapeutic augmentation of the NPS by inhibition of NP breakdown or administration of synthetic NPs is another promising area under current investigation. Novel concepts in HF seek to maximize the beneficial properties of the NPS coupled with counteracting RAAS or ET to achieve optimal end-organ protection, including the most recent new class of compounds, ARNi. As such, with the promising results of most recent clinical trials examining ARNi, we think new therapeutic opportunities lie ahead.

**Sources of Funding**

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health: R01 HL 36634, P01 HL 76611, and the Mayo Foundation, Rochester, MN; and a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia program grant ID 546272. Dr von Lueder is supported by postdoctoral research grant 2011062 from the South-Eastern Norwegian Health Authority. The other authors have no conflict to report.

**Disclosures**

Dr Krum has served on the steering committee of the EMPHASIS-HF trial. Mayo Clinic has licensed cenderitide (CD-NP) and CU-NP to Nile Therapeutics and ANX-042 to Anexon Inc. Dr Burnett is the chair of the scientific advisory board of Nile Therapeutics and is a scientific advisor for Anexon Inc. The other authors have no conflicts to report.

**References**


Abraham WT, Adams KF, Fonarow GC, Costanzo MR, Berkowitz RL, Ljeljentel TH, Cheng ML, Wynne J, ADHERE Scientific Advisory Committee and Investigators; ADHERE Study Group. In-hospital mortality in patients with acute decompensated heart failure requiring intravenous...


Renin–Angiotensin Blockade Combined With Natriuretic Peptide System Augmentation: Novel Therapeutic Concepts to Combat Heart Failure
Thomas G. von Lueder, S. Jeson Sangaralingham, Bing H. Wang, Andrew R. Kompa, Dan Atar, John C. Burnett, Jr and Henry Krum

Circ Heart Fail. 2013;6:594-605
doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.112.000289
Circulation: Heart Failure is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2013 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 1941-3289. Online ISSN: 1941-3297

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circheartfailure.ahajournals.org/content/6/3/594

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation: Heart Failure can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation: Heart Failure is online at:
http://circheartfailure.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/