Failing Heart of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Can Adapt to Extreme Short-term Increases in Circulating Lipids and Does Not Display Features of Acute Myocardial Lipotoxicity
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Background—Circulating lipid levels and myocardial lipid content (MyLC) is increased in type 2 diabetes mellitus. This may cause a state of lipotoxicity that compromises left ventricular function and aggravate heart failure. We investigated the relationship among circulating lipid levels, MyLC, and cardiac function together with the acute cardiac effects of high as opposed to low circulating free fatty acid (FFA) and triglyceride levels in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure.

Methods and Results—Eighteen patients underwent 8-hour intralipid/heparin-infusion (high FFA) and hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamping (low FFA) in a randomized crossover-designed study. We applied magnetic resonance proton spectroscopy to measure MyLC. Cardiac function was assessed by advanced echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise, and MRI. MyLC correlated positively with circulating triglyceride (r=0.47; r²=0.22; P=0.003) and FFA (r=0.45; r²=0.20; P=0.001) levels and inversely with left ventricular ejection fraction (r=-0.54; r²=0.29; P=0.004). Circulating FFA concentrations differed between study arms (0.05±0.04 mmol/L [low FFA] versus 1.04±0.27 mmol/L [high FFA]; P<0.001) and MyLC increased from 0.78±0.59% (low FFA) to 1.16±0.73% (high FFA; P<0.01). Resting left ventricular ejection fraction and global strain did not differ between high and low FFA, whereas resting systolic mitral plane velocity (S’max) was highest during high FFA (3.6±0.8 cm/s [low FFA] versus 3.8±0.7 cm/s [high FFA]; P=0.02). Peak exercise capacity and oxygen consumption did not differ between the study arms, and neither did postexercise measurements of left ventricular ejection fraction, global strain, and S’max.

Conclusions—Our findings indicate that the failing heart of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus can adapt to short-term extreme changes in circulating substrates and does not display features of acute myocardial lipotoxicity.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT01192373.
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study was hence to investigate the impact of short-term manipulation of circulating FFA and triglycerides on MyLC, cardiac systolic and diastolic function, and stress-induced contractile reserve, and the correlation between changes in MyLC and contractile function.

Patients with T2D and HF were included in a randomized crossover–designed trial. They underwent 8 hours of intralipid/heparin infusion (high FFA) and hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamping (low FFA). We used advanced echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise testing, and MRI to measure cardiovascular function and MRS to quantify MyLC.

Methods

Patients

We included 20 noninsulin-treated white male patients with T2D and chronic HF. The patients were stable on optimal HF medication, in New York Heart Association class 2 to 3 and had a LVEF ≤45% assessed by echocardiography. We excluded patients with high age (>85 years), cardiac valve disease, physical or psychological disability, creatinine >220 mmol/L, alanine aminotransferase >3-fold the upper normal limit, or myocardial infarction within the past 3 months. MyLC was measured in the interventricular septum by MRS. Thus, we excluded patients with large septal scars visualized by echocardiography.

Design

All patients were investigated in a randomized crossover–designed trial on 2 different occasions 1 to 6 weeks apart. They were assigned by the investigators to either high FFA followed by low FFA or the opposite (one to one) by drawing sealed envelopes. Patients were studied in the fasting state after 8 hours of hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamping at plasma glucose of 5 mmol/L using 0.8 mU insulin/kg per minute. (Actrapid, Novo Nordisk, A/S, Denmark; low FFA) and after 8 hours of infusion of Intralipid 20% (Fresenius Kabi AB, Uppsala, Sweden) at 40 mL/h combined with 250 U/h of heparin (high FFA). To avoid bias from other antidiabetics, we chose to administer advanced echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise testing, and MRI to measure cardiovascular function and MRS to quantify MyLC.

The hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp was terminated before the stress test with stages lasting 1 minute and increments of 10 W/min. Blood pressure, heart rate, and ECG were measured repeatedly every second minute. Oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide excretion were measured continuously at rest and during the exercise test as described by the manufacturer.

Magnetic Resonance Investigation

A Philips 1.5 Tesla scanner and a 5-element cardiac coil were used. All MRI measurements were ECG-triggered and breath-hold was applied. MRI of the 4-chamber, the 2-chamber, and 12 slices with short-axis views was performed. Global left ventricular function was quantified using Segment v1.8 R0680 (http://segment.heiberg.se). We applied respiratory gating and ECG triggering to perform MRS and a region of interest (ROI) of 8 cm³ (4×2×1 cm) was placed to cover the interventricular septum avoiding epicardial lipid deposits and the blood pool. A TR of 3000 ms and a TE of 26 ms were used. We performed 64 water-suppressed acquisitions followed by 16 nonwater-suppressed acquisitions and ended the MRS by another 64 water-suppressed acquisitions to measure both lipid and water content of the ROI. MRS data were post processed using MRMU version 3.0 to measure the area under the curve at 1.3 ppm in the water-suppressed data (intracellular lipid of the ROI) and at 4.7 ppm in the nonwater-suppressed data (total water of the ROI). MyLC was calculated as percentage of water content as previously described.

Outcomes

Primary end points were defined as changes in MyLC. Secondary end points were changes in LVEF; myocardial contractile function (S′max and global strain), peak exercise capacity, oxygen consumption, postexercise LVEF, and contractile function. The outcomes were measured consecutively and analyzed after completion of the last patient.

Statistics

We applied D’Agustino and Pearson omnibus normality test. E/A-ratio (rest, P value =0.02; exercise, P value <0.001) and time from
last coronary angiography \((P=0.02)\) did not pass this normality test and are, therefore, presented as median (25%–75% percentile). All other values are presented as mean\(\pm\)SD. \(P\) values <0.05 were considered significant. Paired \(t\) test or Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to analyze data between study arms. Spearman was used to test for correlations. Two-way ANOVA with repeated measurements and robust clustered variance analysis were used when stated. Confidence intervals of 95% are presented when appropriate. On the basis of prior or studies,10,11 a crossover design with 20 patients, an expected drop out of 10%, a significance levels of 5%, and a power of 80%, we expected to be able to detect changes in left ventricular contractility \((S'\max, \text{strain})\) of 6% (in relative percentage) and LVEF of 4% (in absolute percentage). The coefficient of variation of myocardial MRS in healthy subject is 18%.12 Applying these parameters and complete data sets on \(\geq 10\) patients, we would expect to be able to detect differences of 18% in MyLC.

### Ethics

Data were collected according to the protocol at the Department of Cardiology, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, and the MR-Center at Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark. The protocol was approved by the Central Denmark Region Committee on Health Research Ethics and informed written consent was obtained from each patient.

### Results

#### Patients

Forty-three patients from our outpatient HF clinic were eligible and they were screened for inclusion between 2010 and 2011. Twenty-three were excluded either because they did not meet the inclusion criteria \((n=21)\) or because they met the exclusion criteria according the protocol \((n=2)\). Twenty patients were enrolled. One experienced cardiac arrest before the first visit (allocated to low FFA followed by low FFA) and another did not attend the first visit and withdrew consent \(\) (allocated to high FFA followed by high FFA). Eighteen patients completed echocardiography and exercise and postexercise echocardiography on both occasions. Patients were aged 67±7 years, had an LVEF of 35±8%, and were in New York Heart Association class 2 or 3. The HF symptoms were because of either ischemic heart disease \((n=15)\) or dilated cardiomyopathy \((n=3)\). Two patients had atrial fibrillation as comorbidity. The mean duration of T2D was 5±4 years and HbA1c was 6.8±1.5%. All patients were on optimal medical HF treatment. Fourteen patients were on oral antiplatelet treatment and 4 patients on diet treatment. Twelve patients completed the MRI and 10 patients completed cardiac MRS on both occasions. Dropouts from the MR analysis were because of patients having a pacemaker \((n=3)\), claustrophobia \((n=2)\) during the scan, incomplete cardiac MR data \((n=1)\), or technical problems during the myocardial MRS \((n=2)\). No coronary angiography was performed before enrollment. However, all but 1 patient was subjected to angiography within the past 3 years \(7 [5–13] \) months; median, 25%–75%\) which included or documented full revascularization of the arteries supplying the septum. The last patient underwent coronary angiography 5 years before enrollment and was diagnosed with dilated cardiomyopathy (Table 1; Figure 1).

#### Substrates and Hormones

FFA levels differed >20-fold \((0.05±0.04 \text{ mmol/L [low FFA]} \) versus \(1.04±0.27 \text{ mmol/L [high FFA];}\ P<0.001\)) and triglycerides \(\geq 4\)-fold \((1.0±0.8 \text{ mmol/L [low FFA]} \) versus \(3.9±2.0 \text{ mmol/L [high FFA];}\ P<0.001\)\) between the study arms. Insulin levels were lowest during high FFA \((521±244 \text{ pmol/L [low FFA]} \) versus \(66±35 \text{ pmol/L [high FFA];}\ P<0.001\)), and plasma glucose levels were highest during high FFA \((5.7±0.5 \text{ mmol/L [low FFA]} \) versus \(9.4±4.8 \text{ mmol/L [high FFA];}\ P<0.01\)). C-peptide \((P<0.001),\) glucagon \((P<0.001),\) and ketones \((P<0.001)\) were higher and GH \((P<0.01)\) lower in the high-FFA arm \(\) (data not shown). Insulin-like growth factor 1 \((P=0.34),\) cortisol \((P=0.82),\) noradrenaline \((P=0.29),\) adrenaline \((P=0.27),\) and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide \((P=0.29)\) did not differ between the study arms \(\) (data not shown). All patients had fasting plasma glucose \(\geq 7 \text{ mmol/L. Weight (91±12.3 kg [low FFA]} \) versus \(90.5±12.9 \text{ kg [high FFA];}\ P=0.09\)) intravenous volume infused \((899±333 \text{ mL [low FFA]} \) versus \(761±150 \text{ mL [high FFA];}\ P=0.06\)), and plasma volume calculated as previously described13 did not differ significantly between the study arms \(\) \((P=0.09)\) before the investigations.

### Table 1. Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>67±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA 2/NYHA 3 (n)</td>
<td>16/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD (n)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM (n)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (n)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>35±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>129±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>73±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats per minute</td>
<td>59±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes mellitus, y</td>
<td>5±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>91±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>6.8±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma glucose, mmol/L</td>
<td>9.6±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, pmol/L</td>
<td>76±31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Peptide, pmol/L</td>
<td>1454±567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA, mmol/L</td>
<td>0.44±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.4±0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{ACE indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme; BP, blood pressure; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; DPP4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; FFA, free fatty acid; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; IHD, ischemic heart disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and NYHA, New York Heart Association classification.}\)
MR Spectroscopy
MyLC differed by 50% between the study arms (0.78±0.59% versus 1.16±0.73%; *P*=0.006; Figure 2). LVEF and MyLC displayed an inverse relation (*r*=−0.54; *r*²=0.29; *P*=0.004; Figure 3). MyLC correlated positively with FFA (*r*=0.45; *r*²=0.20; *P*=0.001; Figure 4A) and triglycerides (*r*=0.47; *r*²=0.22; *P*=0.003; Figure 4B). However, these 2 correlation did not differ (*P*=0.08). We identified an outlier with regard to the triglyceride levels by Grubb test. Including these data did not affect the level of significance. MyLC and insulin were negatively associated (*r*=−0.32; *r*²=0.10; *P*=0.04; Figure 4C). The homeostasis model assessment index, C-peptide, glucose, HbA1c, body mass index, age, and N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide levels did not correlate with MyLC (data not shown).

Left Ventricular Function, Contractility, and Resting Hemodynamics
No differences between the study arms were observed in LVEF (ΔLVEF=1±6%; confidence interval, −4% to 2%; *P*=0.60 [echocardiography] and ΔLVEF=1±4%; confidence interval, −3% to 2%; *P*=0.64 [MRI]), strain, or cardiac output. S’max was higher during high FFA than during low FFA, and MAP was highest during high FFA. Diastolic parameters did not differ between high and low FFA (Table 2).

Figure 1. CONSORT diagram. FFA indicates free fatty acid.

Figure 2. Myocardial lipid content (MyLC) expressed as percentage of water content measured by magnetic resonance proton spectroscopy. MyLC differed significantly between the study arms (*P*=0.006; black dots, low free fatty acid [FFA]; white squares, high FFA).

Figure 3. Correlation of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and myocardial lipid content (MyLC) illustrating a negative association between LVEF and MyLC (black dots, low free fatty acid [FFA]; white squares, high FFA).
Exercise capacity, MAP, peak O2 consumption, LVEF, S′max, and strain post exercise did not differ between study arms and neither did the diastolic parameters. The respiratory equation ratio was significantly higher during rest and peak exercise in the high-FFA arm than in the low-FFA arm. Because of the placement of the ROI during MRS, we measured regional septal strain from rest to exercise and found it to increase (P=0.02; 2-way ANOVA with repeated measurements; Table 2).

Discussion

In patients with T2D and HF, MyLC displayed much flexibility in response to variations in circulating lipids and correlated inversely with LVEF and positively with FFA and triglyceride levels. Short-term elevated as opposed to suppressed FFA and triglyceride levels had no detrimental effect on LVEF, strain, exercise-induced contractile reserve, or cardiopulmonary exercise capacity. In contrast, a minor increase in S′max was observed during high lipid levels. These findings suggest that short-term lowering of circulating lipid levels and MyLC has no overt beneficial effect on left ventricular function.

Short-term Modulation of Circulating FFA in Patients With T2D and HF

FFA and myocardial lipid accumulation have been implicated in the progression of HF.1,2,14 The present study addresses the clinical and functional effects of extreme differences in FFA and triglycerides in patients with T2D, reduced LVEF, and symptomatic HF. Studies in HF patients without T2D have shown either neutral7,15 or even potentially detrimental effects of suppression in circulating FFA levels on left ventricular function and cardiac efficiency.16,17 These discordant results may reflect differences in the pathophysiology of patients with and without diabetes mellitus and differences in the severity of the metabolic derangement such patients undergo.18 The FFA levels differed >20-fold and triglyceride levels 4-fold between the study arms. Even so, we detected no significant deterioration in our end points of LVEF, cardiac output, strain, diastolic function, contractile reserve, or cardiopulmonary exercise capacity during high FFA as opposed to low FFA. In contrast, we observed a minor, but significant increase in S′max in patients with high circulating levels of FFA and triglycerides. This could be because of the fact that S′max is more reproducible19 and more sensitive to subtle contractile changes than LVEF, even by contrast-enhanced echocardiography.6 Furthermore, the present changes in S′max may have clinical relevance as it has been shown to be an independent predictor of mortality.20

Our findings seem unlikely to be caused by changes in after or preload. We would have expected the increase in MAP (and hence afterload) during high FFA to decrease S′max,21 but the opposite was observed. Although weight and plasma volume did not differ significantly between the study arms, more fluid tended to be infused during low FFA (≈140 mL; near-significant [P=0.06]) than during high FFA. This could potentially have decreased the differences in S′max between the study arms as a volume challenge increases S′max in patients with cardiac disease.22 However, the difference in volume was negligible. Furthermore, we would have expected these potential differences in volume load, if present, to affect preload and thus e′.23 However, this was not observed. Our finding seems not to be explained by a confounding effect of insulin stimulation on the sympathetic nervous system24 because insulin levels were lower during high FFA than during low FFA, the heart rate was unchanged, and catecholamine levels did not differ between the study arms. Furthermore, no evidence
A potential explanation of this observation may be that in terms of ATP yield, thus, the decrease in cardiac fatty acid oxidation during low circulating lipid levels is not compensated for by the increase in glucose oxidation.17,18 Whether the discrepancies between Tuunanen et al16 and the present findings are rooted in differences with regard to T2D and the applied interventions are unknown. However, further studies are required to elucidate whether the failing heart responds differently to more modest reductions in circulating lipids, as previously stated.28 Although the changes in circulating substrates were extreme in our study, the clinical significance of decreased S′max during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamping and increased contractile function during hyperglycemia and elevated FFA as previously shown29 could suggest that a major decrease in lipid load may be detrimental in patients with T2D and HF.

### Short-term Modulation of MyLC in Patients With T2D and HF

Observational studies in patients with T2D and normal LVEF demonstrate that elevated MyLC3 correlates inversely with diastolic function4 and systolic strain.30 MyLC measured in myocardial biopsies is also elevated in patients with reduced LVEF because of aortic stenosis31 and end-stage dilated cardiomyopathy,32 and it is even more elevated in case of coexisting T2D.31,32 Although we were unable to detect differences in LVEF and exercise capacity between high and low circulating FFA concentrations, we demonstrated an inverse correlation between LVEF and exercise capacity in HF patients. Thus, this previous study and the present observations indicate that increased myocardial FFA metabolism in stable HF patients does not decrease LVEF, but on the contrary it increases stroke volume16 and S′max. A potential explanation of this observation may be that in terms of ATP yield, thus, the decrease in cardiac fatty acid oxidation during low circulating lipid levels is not compensated for by the increase in glucose oxidation.17,18 Whether the discrepancies between Tuunanen et al16 and the present findings are rooted in differences with regard to T2D and the applied interventions are unknown. However, further studies are required to elucidate whether the failing heart responds differently to more modest reductions in circulating lipids, as previously stated.28 Although the changes in circulating substrates were extreme in our study, the clinical significance of decreased S′max during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamping and increased contractile function during hyperglycemia and elevated FFA as previously shown29 could suggest that a major decrease in lipid load may be detrimental in patients with T2D and HF.

### Exercise testing

Table 2. Echocardiographic, Hemodynamic, and Cardiopulmonary Exercise Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low FFA</th>
<th>High FFA</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Low FFA</th>
<th>High FFA</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>38±7</td>
<td>38±10</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>42±9</td>
<td>41±10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S′max, cm/s</td>
<td>3.6±0.8</td>
<td>3.8±0.7</td>
<td>0.02†</td>
<td>4.3±0.9</td>
<td>4.4±1.3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, %</td>
<td>−11.1±2.5</td>
<td>−11.3±2.7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>−12.4±3.6</td>
<td>−11.8±3.4</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output, L/min</td>
<td>5.0±1.3</td>
<td>5.1±1.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>6.7±1.9</td>
<td>7.4±1.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-velocity, m/s</td>
<td>0.73±0.23</td>
<td>0.72±0.25</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.84±0.26</td>
<td>0.86±0.23</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-deceleration time, ms</td>
<td>264±112</td>
<td>258±111</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>218±91</td>
<td>210±84</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/e ratio</td>
<td>20±9</td>
<td>19±9</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>18±9</td>
<td>18±8</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A ratio*</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8–2.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–2.1)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8–1.6)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVRT, ms</td>
<td>97±37</td>
<td>102±14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>80±28</td>
<td>88±23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>87±14</td>
<td>92±11</td>
<td>0.03†</td>
<td>96±21</td>
<td>99±17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats per minute</td>
<td>62±12</td>
<td>65±13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>108±26</td>
<td>110±22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER</td>
<td>1.00±0.07</td>
<td>0.86±0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>1.18±0.07</td>
<td>1.10±0.08</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise capacity, W</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>102±32</td>
<td>102±32</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2 used, mL/min per kilogram</td>
<td>3.5±0.9</td>
<td>3.5±1.1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>15.4±4.8</td>
<td>14.9±5.0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FFA indicates free fatty acid; IVRT indicates isovolumetric relaxation time; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MAP, mean arterial pressure; NA, not available; and RER, respiratory equation ratio.

*Did not pass normality testing. Values are reported as median (25–75 percentile) and P values are calculated by Wilcoxon signed rank test.
†Parameters that differ significantly between study arms.
remains flexible to short-term extreme changes in whole-body substrate supply in patients with T2D and HF. This magnitude of change in MyLC is similar4 or even greater24 than that observed in previous studies of non-HF patients, and it is in accordance with findings of a high myocardial FFA uptake in case of impaired glucose tolerance.35

FFA, triglyceride levels, and MyLC correlated positively. In contrast, a previous study showed that MyLC did not increase because of elevated triglycerides 4 hours after oral lipid intake in normal test subjects.9 However, recently it was shown that in patients with impaired glucose tolerance as compared with healthy test subjects, postprandial myocardial lipid uptake is increased, although FFA levels decrease while triglyceride levels increase.35 This suggests that results on myocardial lipid metabolism obtained in healthy subjects are not readily transferred to patients with metabolic derangement.

The present study shows that the metabolic disturbances have not reached an end stage that eliminates the flexibility of lipid storage in patients with T2D and HF. Thus, the failing heart of patients with T2D has preserved its ability to sequester lipid without impeding the myocardial contractile function or causing clinically significant acute lipotoxicity in patients with T2D and HF.

Study Limitations
Plasma glucose was increased during high FFA. It can be argued that this might cause a minor increase in myocardial glucose uptake because of a glucose mass effect. However, this seems unlikely because FFA differed >20-fold between the study arms and circulating FFA levels correlate inversely with myocardial glucose uptake.36 Similarly, ketones differed between the study arms, but to our knowledge, no human experiments support that this should affect our results.

Winhofer et al24 report that hyperglycemic clamp and hyperinsulinemia cause an increase in MyLC in normal subjects. We found that MyLC was lowest during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamping (low FFA). We suggest that this discrepancy is because of the large differences in triglycerides and FFA levels between our study arms.

The effect of high lipid levels on insulin sensitivity and hyperinsulinemia was not investigated but could have been addressed in a third study arm by combining high FFA levels with hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. Further, the applied method to lower FFA levels does not allow us to discriminate between the effects of hyperinsulinemia and suppressed circulating FFA levels. However, a previous study showed no effect on myocardial contractile function in HF patients because of short-term insulin infusion.7 Although no patients had T2D in this study and thus should be extrapolated with caution, it suggests that the minor changes observed on S’max in the present study were unlikely caused by differences in insulin levels. Whether the applied interventions affected myocardial perfusion is unsettled and needs to be addressed in future studies.

Ideally myocardial perfusion of the septum should have been investigated at screening. However, by history, all patients completing the MRS investigations on both visits were known either to have undergone coronary artery bypass graft or percutaneous coronary intervention for revascularization to the vessels supplying the septum or to have no coronary artery disease by previous coronary angiography. Furthermore, we observed an increase in septal strain during exercise, appearance of microbubbles in the septum of all patients both pre and post exercise, and no angina during the cardiopulmonary exercise test.

The possibility of type 2 statistical errors must be considered. However, we used a crossover design and sensitive, reproducible cardiopulmonary, echocardiogetic, and MR spectroscopic techniques with paired measurements.

No female participants were included in the present study and most patients were in New York Heart Association class 2. Whether females or patients in New York Heart Association 3 or 4 would have responded differently is unknown.

Conclusion
The failing heart of patients with T2D can adapt to extreme short-term increases in circulating lipids and does not display features of acute myocardial lipotoxicity. The long-term cardiac effects of modulating myocardial lipid uptake and content await further studies.
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**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

This clinical study addresses the cardiovascular effects of manipulating circulating lipid levels and myocardial lipid content in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure. Thus, it contributes to the understanding of cardiac lipotoxicity and the clinical approach toward patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure. Our study shows that myocardial lipid content is inversely correlated with left ventricular ejection fraction and displays much flexibility in response to changes in circulating triglycerides, free fatty acids, and insulin levels. However, short-term suppression of circulating lipid levels and myocardial lipid content has no beneficial effect on the failing diabetic myocardium. However, we observed that this may even have adverse effects with regard to cardiac contractile function. For the practicing clinician, the present study, therefore, shows that reduction of myocardial lipid content through brief suppression in circulating lipids is without beneficial clinical effects in patients with heart failure and type 2 diabetes mellitus. These are new findings in this large subgroup of heart failure patients with a poor prognosis.
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